

No. TATA DA

EUROPEAN HEMATOLOGY ASSOCIATION



MADRID 22ND CONGRESS JUNE 22 - 25 + 2017

European Hematology Association

FINAL PROGRAM

MASITINIB FOR TREATMENT OF SEVERELY SYMPTOMATIC INDOLENT SYSTEMIC MASTOCYTOSIS: ADDITIONAL EFFICACY ANALYSES FROM THE RANDOMIZED, PLACEBO-CONTROLLED, PHASE 3 STUDY

Background: Masitinib, a selective oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting wild-type KIT, LYN and FYN, was the first drug to demonstrate efficacy in a phase 3 setting (study AB06006) for treatment of patients with severe indolent systemic mastocytosis (ISM) who are unresponsive to existing, optimal symptomatic treatments. In *The Lancet* (online Jan 6, 2017), Lortholary and colleagues reported a significant and clinically meaningful treatment benefit for masitinib (6 mg/kg/day over 24-weeks) versus placebo, with primary analysis based on cumulative response (\geq 75% improvement from baseline, timeframe weeks 8–24, comprising 5 visits at 4-week intervals) in at least one of four severe baseline symptoms (pruritus, flushes, depression, or fatigue) using repeated measures methodology for rare diseases (i.e. a longitudinal analysis with respect to symptoms as opposed to patient response rate at a single point in time). Eligible patients were aged 18–75 years and had ISM according to inclusion criteria that were slightly broader than the WHO classification.

Aims: To aide interpretation of this study's prospectively declared primary endpoint via comparison with additional efficacy analyses based on a cohort restricted to the WHO classification of ISM and more conventional patient-centric response endpoints.

Methods: Randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study that included 135 severely symptomatic ISM patients, including the subvariant smoldering systemic mastocytosis (71 masitinib, 64 placebo), 80% of whom satisfied the WHO classification.

Results: Masitinib showed a significant improvement over placebo according to its pre-specified primary endpoint (mITT population), with a cumulative response of 18.7% versus 7.4%, respectively, odds ratio (OR) of 3.6 [95%CI 1.2-10.8], P=0.008 (with re-randomization). This outcome was confirmed in the WHO patient subgroup: 17.8% versus 8.0%, respectively, OR=3.25 [0.97-10.88], P=0.0317. Computing the primary analysis (mITT) according to cumulative response per patient (GEE model) was also positive: 26.7% versus 12.8%, respectively, OR=2.48 [1.16-5.31], P=0.0212; as was analysis according to individual patient response (Pearson chi-square): 40.3% versus 24.2%, respectively, P=0.0062. Response (per patient) on all severe baseline symptoms for at least one visit was: 16.4% versus 1.6%, respectively, P=0.0062. Finally, analysis of sustained response in all severe baseline symptoms over multiple visits was highly discriminatory between treatment-arms: for patients with 3 severe baseline symptoms masitinib generated a 12.5% response rate (\geq 75% improvement in each symptom) for 3 out of 5 visits, versus no response for placebo; and for patients with 2 severe baseline symptoms masitinib generated a response rate of 21.1%, 15.8% and 10.5% over at least 1, 2, and 3 visits, respectively, versus no response for placebo.

Summary/Conclusion: These post-hoc analyses confirm the clinical relevance, durability, and generalizability of the positive primary endpoint from study AB06006. Findings therefore support the conclusion that masitinib generates a significant therapeutic benefit in patients with severely symptomatic ISM who were unresponsive to optimal symptomatic treatments.

Email address: ohermine@gmail.com

Keywords: Mast cell disease, Mastocytosis, Phase III, Treatment, Masitinib

Masitinib for Treatment of Severely Symptomatic Indolent Systemic Mastocytosis: Additional Efficacy Analyses from the Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Phase 3 Study

O Hermine^{1, 2, 3}, C Paul⁴, E Jassem⁵, M Niedoszytko⁵, S Barete⁶, S Verstovsek⁷, C Grattan⁸, D Canioni⁹, S Georgin-Lavialle¹⁰, L Frenzel¹, JP Kinet¹¹, C Mansfield³, A Moussy³, P Dubreuil ^{3,12,13,14}, O Lortholary^{15, 16} <u>Corresponding author: Olivier Hermine MD, PhD. E-mail: ohermine@gmail.com</u>

¹University Paris Descartes, Institut Imagine INSERM U1163, Paris, France; ³AB Science, Paris, France; ⁴Paul Sabatier University, Hôpital Larrey, Toulouse, France; ³AB Science, Paris, France; ⁴Paul Sabatier University, Hôpital Larrey, Toulouse, France; ⁴Paul Sabatier Universi ⁷Hanns A. Pielenz Clinical Research Center for Myeloproliferative Neoplasms, Houston, United States; ⁸Norfolk & Norwich, United States; ⁸Norfolk & Norwich, United States; ¹⁰Hôpital Tenon, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France; ¹¹Harvard Medical School & Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, United States; ¹²Inserm U1068, CRCM; ¹³CNRS, UMR7258, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Aix-Marseille; ¹⁴ CEREMAST, Paris, France; ¹⁵Hôpital Necker-Enfants-Malades, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, ¹⁶ CEREMAST, Paris, France.

Introduction

- > INDOLENT SYSTEMIC MASTOCYTOSIS (SM), INCLUDING SMOLDERING SM, IS A RARE DISEASE WITH A WIDE VARIETY OF CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS
- About 33% of indolent SM patients will experience severely debilitating symptoms (handicaps) that are unresponsive to optimal symptomatic treatment.
- There is a high unmet medical need for these severely symptomatic, treatment-refractory patients.
- > MASITINIB IS AN ORAL TYROSINE KINASE INHIBITOR CELL ACTIVATION, INHIBITS MAST THAT **DEGRANULATION AND MIGRATION**
- Masitinib selectively targets kinases that play critical roles in mast cell (MC) function.

INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF MASITINIB AND KINOME INTERACTION MAPS				
Target	IC ₅₀ [nM]	Kd [µM]		
KIT wild-type (WT)	200	0.008		
FYN	240	0.14		
LYN	225	0.061		
KIT JM mutation (exon 11)	5			
KIT-D86V (exon 17)	5,000			



- Masitinib's action relies on targeting normal (WT) MCs and/or reducing activation of KIT-D816V MCs; the latter via modulating MC degranulation in a KIT-D816Vindependent manner,
- Masitinib's high kinase selectivity limits the risk of offtarget toxicity.

References: [1] Lortholary et al. Lancet. 2017 Feb 11;389(10069):612-620.

Study Design & Results in Context

- > MASITINIB STUDY AB06006 IS THE FIRST PHASE 3, PROSPECTIVE, RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL FOR A TREATMENT OF INDOLENT SM IN PATIENTS UNRESPONSIVE TO EXISTING, OPTIMAL SYMPTOMATIC TREATMENT
- Eligible patients (pts) had indolent SM according to slightly broader criteria than the WHO classification, and at least one severe baseline symptom (handicap) from among: pruritus score ≥9, flushes/week ≥8, Hamilton depression rating \geq 19, or Fatigue Impact Scale \geq 75.
- A total of 135 pts with severely symptomatic indolent SM were enrolled (71 masitinib, 64 placebo), 80% of whom satisfied the WHO classification (i.e. 27 pts did not fulfil the WHO classification).
- Treatment-effect tested using repeated measures methodology for rare diseases via the generalized estimating equation (GEE) model.
 - Primary endpoint was cumulative response in at least one severe symptom with response defined as a ≥75% improvement in handicap.
 - Cumulative response was defined as the number of actual responses between weeks 8 and 24, divided by the total number of possible responses over that period; i.e. longitudinal analysis with respect to symptoms as opposed to pt response rate at a single time-point.

POSITIVE FINDINGS HAVE BEEN REPORTED IN THE LANCET SHOWING A SIGNIFICANT AND CLINICALLY MEANINGFUL TREATMENT BENEFIT, WITH DEMONSTRATED POSSIBILITY OF EFFECTIVE, SAFE MANAGEMENT.^[1]

THE LANCET

Masitinib for treatment of severely symptomatic indolent systemic mastocytosis: a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study

ackground Indolent systemic mastocytosis, including the subvariant of smouldering systemic mastocytosis, is a Published Online ifelong condition associated with reduced quality of life. Masitinib inhibits KIT and LYN kinases that are involved in January 6, 2017 indolent systemic mastocytosis pathogenesis. We aimed to assess safety and efficacy of masitinib versus placebo in severely symptomatic patients who were unresponsive to optimal symptomatic treatments.

lethods In this randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study, we enrolled adults (aged 18-75 years) with 50140-6736(16)31655-

- MASITINIB SHOWED SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENT OVER PLACEBO in its primary endpoint, 18.7% vs. 7.4%, respectively, (P=0.008).
- Success in the primary analysis was corroborated by secondary endpoints such as a significant change in tryptase level between treatment-arms (-18.0% vs. +2.2%, respectively, P<0.001).
- Data showed a positive benefit/risk ratio. Masitinib may therefore be an important new treatment option for these pts.

LONG-TERM

Vol.389 Number 10069 | Feb 11, 2017 p 573-670

@*\ 🔘

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ ee Online/Comment http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/

Supportive Analyses Confirm Results are Clinically Relevant

- highly discriminatory between treatment-arms:

Analysis

Primary (AB06006)	4R
Primary (WHO)	4R
Primary (per pt*visit)	4R
Overall pt response	4R
Overall pt response	Re
Pt with 2 handicaps	Re
Pt with 3 handicaps	Re

R75% (GEE: Pt R75% (GEE: Pt R75% (GEE: Pt) 875% (Pearson esponse on all esponse on ea esponse on ea

Pt with 4 handicaps Response on eac

Primary (AB06006) = prospectively declared primary endpoint. 4R75% = Cumulative 75% response rate on pruritus or flushes or depression or asthenia. GEE = generalized estimating equation model. mITT population: masitinib n = 67; placebo n = 62. Response defined as a \geq 75% improvement in severe baseline handicap. N/A not applicable.

- UNRESPONSIVE TO OPTIMAL SYMPTOMATIC TREATMENTS
- endpoint from study AB06006.

22nd Congress of the European Hematology Association – June 22-25, 2017, Madrid, Spain

> Additional efficacy analyses based on a cohort restricted to the WHO CLASSIFICATION OF INDOLENT SM AND MORE CONVENTIONAL PATIENT-ORIENTED RESPONSE **ENDPOINTS CONFIRM A CONSISTENT SUPERIORITY OF MASITINIB OVER PLACEBO**

Patient response based on the primary analysis but computed with respect to response per patient (as opposed to [pt * handicap * visit] or [pt * visit]) was consistently significant, corroborating the primary analysis based on the 4R75% response.

Unlike masitinib, placebo was unable to generate a response for more than one visit... Analysis of sustained response in all severe baseline symptoms over multiple visits was

• For pts with 3 severe baseline symptoms masitinib generated a 12.5% response rate (≥75% improvement in each symptom) for 3 out of 5 visits, vs. no response for placebo.

• For pts with 2 severe baseline symptoms masitinib generated a response rate of 15.8% and 10.5% over at least 2 and 3 visits, respectively, vs. no response for placebo.

	Masitinib	Placebo	p-value
* handicap * visit)	18.7%	7.4%	0.0076
* handicap * visit)	17.8%	8.0%	0.0317
* visit)	26.7%	12.8%	0.0212
Chi-Square: Pts)	40.3%	24.2%	0.0062
baseline handicaps	16.4%	1.6%	0.0038
ch baseline handicap	21.0% (n=19)	0.0% (n=25)	N/A
ch baseline handicap	12.5% (n=16)	0.0% (n=18)	N/A
ch baseline handicap	16.7% (n=6)	0.0% (n=3)	N/A

> THESE FINDINGS SUPPORT THE CONCLUSION THAT MASITINIB GENERATES A SIGNIFICANT THERAPEUTIC BENEFIT IN PATIENTS WITH SEVERELY SYMPTOMATIC INDOLENT SM, WHO ARE

Additional analyses aide interpretation of the study's prospectively declared primary endpoint using more conventional measures of response or WHO inclusion criterion.

Data confirm the clinical relevance, durability, and generalizability of the positive primary